Case Number: 3:22-CV-00375-ART-CSD ORDER APPROVING STIPULATION TO EXTEND DEADLINE TO RESPOND TO MOTION TO STRIKE/DISMISS
(Second Request for Extension)

Defendant/Counterclaimant Susan Helen Armstrong Holmes (hereafter "Ms. Holmes,") by and through her undersigned counsel, and plaintiffs/counterdefendants The R.J. Armstrong

1	Living Trust and David C. Armstrong, (hereafter "Mr. Armstrong,") by and through their
2	undersigned counsel, respectfully filed the following "Stipulation To Extend Deadline To
3	Respond to Motion to Strike/Dismiss," ("Motion,") by an addition ten (10) days. In the
4	Motion, Mr. Armstrong's counsel believes that the Ms. Holmes' Answer and Counterclaim is
5	defective and should be at minimum, amended. Upon review of the pending Motion, Ms.
6	Holmes' new counsel concurs and now believes that a motion to amend her initial "Answer and
7	Counterclaim" should be filed at the same time as a response to the Motion. Counsel for Ms.
8	Holmes needs additional time to complete both tasks.
9	For this reason, good cause exits to extend the deadline to respond to the Motion by ten
10	(10) days. If acceptable to the Court, the response to Mr. Armstrong's motion filed at Docket
11	No. 6 will be due on October 10, 2022.
12	Dated this 28th day of September, 2022
13	BOYACK LAW GROUP
14	/s/ Bradley S. Slighting, Esq.
15	Bradley S. Slighting, Esq. Representing Defendant/
16	Counterclaimant Susan Helen Armstrong Holmes
17	STEPHENSON LAW, PLLC
18	/s/ John Neil Stephenson
19	John Neil Stephenson
20	Representing Plaintiffs The R.J. Armstrong Living Trust and
21	David C. Armstrong IT IS SO ORDERED.
22	II IS SO ORDERED.
23	Ann Rassel Ru
24	Hon. Anne R. Traum
25	U.S. District Court Judge for the U.S. District Court
26	for the District of Nevada
27	DATED: October 3, 2022